

MHHS Cross Code Advisory Group Headline Report

Issue date: 23/06/2022

Meeting Number	CCAG007	Venue	Virtual – MS Teams
Meeting Date and Time	22 June 2022 10:00-12:00	Classification	Public

Actions

Area	Action Ref	Action	Owner	Due Date
Horizon	CCAG07-01	Update MHHS responses to content in the Horizon Scanning Log	Programme (Matt McKeon)	20/07/22
Scanning Log	CCAG07-02	Add Changes R044 and DP206 to the Horizon Scanning Log	REC and SEC Representatives	20/07/22
	CCAG07-03	Update the code draft M6-M8 plan following CCAG discussion and bring to July CCAG for approval	Programme (PMO)	20/07/22
	CCAG07-04	Develop the Programme's approach to transitional text, including for the drafting approach, activation arrangements following code implementation, sunset clauses, and the plan for a final release. Discuss the transitional text approach with BSC and REC offline. Bring the outputs to July CCAG for discussion.	Programme (Andrew Margan)	20/07/22
Code Drafting Planning	CCAG07-05	Provide feedback on periods of activity in industry to be taken into consideration when determining the timing and durations of activities in the plan	All CCAG members	20/07/22
	CCAG07-06	Discuss the post-release/implementation plan, including how changes will be managed. Discuss with REC and BSC. Present back to CCAG in July	Programme (Andrew Margan)	20/07/22
	CCAG07-07	Meet to discuss the Ofgem steps within code release and implementation. Updates the plan as required and present outputs in July CCAG	Programme, Ofgem	20/07/22
	CCAG07-08	Provide responses to the code draft plan feedback received prior to the CCAG meeting	Programme (Andrew Margan)	29/06/22

Code Drafting	CCAG07-09	Amend the resource RACI to make REC a responsible party for registration service and qualification	Programme (Andrew Margan)	20/07/22
Resource Model	CCAG07-10	Update resource plan to note that the resource in the plan is for code drafting only (additional resource is required for meeting attendance and consultation)	Programme (Andrew Margan)	20/01/22
Code Drafting Principles and Approach	CCAG07-11	Consider the enduring referencing and hosting of design artefacts and how this should be brought into each code. Update the code draft principles for approval in July CCAG.	Programme (Andrew Margan)	20/07/22

Decisions

Area	Dec Ref	Decision
Minutes	CCAG-DEC14	Minutes of meeting held 25 May 2022 were approved

RAID Items Discussed

RAID area	Description
Code Drafting Planning	Feedback was provided on the assumptions underlying the code draft plan, such as for the durations of certain steps in the plan (please see key discussion items below). This will be updated for the next iteration of the plan (actions CCAG07-03 to -08)

Key Discussion items

Area	Discussion
Horizon Scanning Log	The group reviewed the <u>CCAG Horizon Scanning Log</u> . Updates were provided by CCAG members and the MHHS Design Team on a number of industry changes in the log and to be added to the log. Actions were taken to update the content of the log further (see ACTIONS CCAG07-01 and CCAG07-02).
Code Drafting Planning	 The Programme presented the latest iteration of the code draft plan to M8. This included detail on the code draft review cycles, the sequence of code draft topic areas, and the code implementation strategy. CCAG members provided feedback on components of the plan including: That more time may be needed for some activities in the plan, mainly for code drafting and review periods. CCAG members raised concerns that more time may be needed to flex for large topic areas where there may be a large volume of documents to draft and review. The plan could only be confirmed by CCAG members if they are confident the volume of work and planned resourcing aligns with the timeframes indicated. This CCAG also recommended allowing more time for teething issues That the CDWG review process may need to be adjusted, so that the CDWG could review drafted code before it goes to impact assessment. The Programme explained the current review steps were intentional to facilitate the most efficient review cycles. That clear communication was required on the plan, including ahead of time should there be any movement of timings/dates in the plan. If later steps start earlier, this should not bring the rest of the plan forward Whether transitional text will be drafted during each topic area or at the end of drafting in the transition text topic area. There may be a need to draft some elements of transition text during a specific topic area. The Programme agreed to take this away to bring back the full approach to transition text in July CCAG (ACTION CCAG07-04)

	 Principle 5 – the 'leads' for each code topic area required some clarification in the plan The principles will be updated (ACTION CCAG07-11) and reviewed by the CCAG at specific points throughout code drafting.
Code Drafting Principles and Approach	 Principle 3 – the approach to referencing design artefacts in the code was not yet confirmed and so this principle could not be approved. Th principle is subject to a prototyping trial in terms of where and how documentation can be hosted and managed.
Codo Duoffin a	The Programme asked for approval of the Code Drafting Principles and Approach following updates made from CCAG and DAG feedback. CCAG members queried:
Model	The Programme presented the updated resource plan that had been used to inform the durations of activities presented in the code drafting plan. The Programme noted the resource plan only indicates the anticipated resource for code drafting activities and did not include meeting attendance or consultations. The Programme agreed to provide further clarity within the document (ACTION CCAG07-10).
Code Drafting Resource	The Programme provided an overview of the RACI being used to define participant responsibilities throughout code drafting. Updates to the RACI were agreed (ACTION CCAG07-09).
	The Programme will now make updates to the plan from the discussion and actions above. The next iteration of the plan will come to July CCAG for approval and be input into the re-plan.
	• A review of the code releases/implementation approach to be discussed further with Ofgem (ACTION CCAG07-06)
	 That more work was required to understand the post-implementation change process such as if and how this would be managed by the Programme and/or code bodies (ACTION CCAG07-06)
	 A need to understand the impact of any move to M5. The Programme clarified that the impact of an M5 delay would result in the plan moving right and that the timeframes defined in the plan were not fixed and would be determined by the critical path of drafting activities.
	 That there is a need to map wider industry activity that may have an impact on participants ability to resource against the plan. The Programme has asked for feedback from CCAG members on any activities happening in industry that may affect the plan (ACTION CCAG07-05)

Next Meeting: 27 July 2022